

REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding nonfinal Office Action mailed June 4, 2004. Reconsideration and allowance of the application and presently pending claim, as amended, are respectfully requested.

1. Present Status of Patent Application

Upon entry of the amendments in this response, claim 1 remains pending in the present application. More specifically, claim 1 is directly amended and claims 1-2 are canceled without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer. It is believed that the foregoing amendments and additions add no new matter to the present application.

2. Response To Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. Section 102

The Office Action indicates that claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by the "Superprint manual". The Office Action further indicates that claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Hibino.

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection for at least the reasons indicated below.

The MPEP at Section 2131 states:

"A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is expressly or inherently described in a single prior reference.... The identical invention must be shown as complete detail as contained in the claim..."

Applicant's independent claim 1, as amended, provides (emphasis added):

In a first computer, a method of providing a print status, comprising:

- (a) receiving content from a printer, the content executable by a computer to cause a computer to display a print status page based upon dynamic input received from the printer printing a print job received from the computer; and
- (b) executing the content so as to generate the print status page.

Application No. 09/712,308
Attorney Docket No. 10007660-1
Response to Office Action dated 6/4/04

3

Applicants respectfully assert that neither the Superprint manual or Hibino anticipate claim 1.

Nowhere do these references teach the step of receiving, from a printer, content that is executable to generate a print status page. These references therefore can not anticipate element (a) of claim 1 and for this reason alone the rejection should be withdrawn.


Furthermore, nowhere do these references teach the step of receiving, from a printer, content that was received by a computer from a printer and that is executable to generate a print status page based upon dynamic input received from the same printer that is printing a print job received from the computer. These references therefore do not anticipate element (a) of claim 1 and for this reason alone the rejection should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicant respectfully submits that all objections and/or rejections have been traversed, rendered moot, and/or accommodated, and that the now pending claim is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (208) 396-5263.

Respectfully submitted,

Shell S. Simpson

By: 
Matthew L. Wade
Reg. No. 42,206

Date: 9/2/04

Application No. 09/712,308
Attorney Docket No. 10007660-1
Response to Office Action dated 6/4/04

4